## Towards a Just Water Transformation: The SEA Imperative



Simone Medonos

RICARDO

UK

Simone.Medonos@ricardo.com

**LinkedIn** 

<u>Ricardo</u>



RICARDO'S ENVIRONMENTAL, SCIENCE AND MOBILITY ENGINEERING EXPERTISE HELPS TO NAVIGATE COMPLEXITY Purpose of this presentation: an overview of SEA in Water Resource Management Plans (WRMPs) in England and Wales.

Process, Benefits, Challenges





### The Need for Water Plans and SEA – Why Plan?

- Increasing problems with water scarcity, climate change and increasing demand
- Move to more sustainable water resource policies
- · Need to balance water supply for humans, industry, agriculture and the environment
- In the UK, SEA is statutory which allows potential for legal challenge...

#### NATIONAL INFRASTRUCTUR COMMISSION

England's water infrastructure needs

Preparing for a drier future

#### Meeting our Future Water Needs: a National Framework for Water Resources





#### The National Framework explores England's long-term water needs. It sets out:

 the scale of action needed to ensure resilient water supplies are available to meet the needs of all users in the future

a greater level of ambition for restoring, protecting and improving the environment that is the source of all our supplies

Explores England's long term water needs, setting out the scale of action needed to ensure resilient supplies and an improved water environment

#### Every day:



#### If no action is taken between 2025 and 2050 around **3,435** million extra litres of water per day will be needed for public water supply to address future pressures. This includes:

 1,150 million litres per day (MI/d) to make water supplies more resilient to drought

 1,040 million litres per day to supply the growing population

 720 million litres per day to replace unsustainable abstractions and improve the environment

 400 million litres per day\* to address the impact of climate change on water availability

 Around 50% of the national need is in the South East

Why do we undertake SEA?



Fundamentally SEA is a statutory obligation for qualifying plans

Better environmental protection, identifying potential unforeseen environmental effects

**Improves plans** by using an objectively, structured approach and integrating consideration of environmental and social effects

**Improved decision making** by providing evidence-based assessments of various alternatives, SEA supports informed decision-making processes, leading to more sustainable and effective water management strategies.

**Enhanced communication and transparency** in decision making. Aids consultation and makes it more meaningful to regulators and stakeholders

Exploration of reasonable alternatives with potential for wider benefits

**Reducing long term costs** by helping to avoid unforeseen environmental effects and minimise need for potential remedial action

**Provides a robust platform** for any later, detailed planning applications and EIA requirements

### **UK Water Resources**

#### Water Company Investment

- Privatised Water (& Sewerage) Companies
- 5-year investment cycles (AMP7 £50Bn largest)
- Enhanced regulatory pressure & public scrutiny
- Increased focus on environmental improvement
- Climate change, population growth & price
- Consultancy framework agreements

#### **Regional Water Resource Planning**

- National resource planning framework 2019
- Identified water resource deficits (SE England)
- Building to 2050 'jaws of death' scenario
- Driven need to plan at regional level (5 groups)
- Identified need to develop SROs

#### Strategic Resource Options

- 17 SROs for development during AMP7 2020-25
- £469M funding via PR19 split between partners
- New investment & scale of challenge
- Significant environmental service provision



### Water Resource Management Plans (WRMPs)

Water companies in England and Wales have statutory duty every five years to:

- Assess the need for water resources
- Produce a plan (WRMP) for the required water resources:
  - Demand management first i.e. encourage minimal use of water resources e.g. water efficiency measures such as education or devices, metering, leakage
  - $_{\odot}$  Forecasting water on basis of scenarios e.g. drought, industry
  - $\circ$  Determine likely supply requirements
  - $_{\odot}$  Assessment to determine most suitable options
  - Detailed assessment of preferred option(s)



## Water Supply Options - Examples



### Differentiating the WRMP SEA....

- WRMP
  - Range and scale of options to assess can vary from small scale licence transfers to a large scale new reservoir, pipelines
  - More data such as Carbon, CAPEX, GIS
  - Other assessment information can vary e.g. WFD and HRA assessments



- Another example: Scotland's Forestry Strategy
  - Not spatial in the sense of WRMP
  - Vision and objectives e.g. *Increase the contribution* of forests and woodlands to Scotland's sustainable and inclusive economic growth
  - Priorities e.g. Promote and develop the concept of sustainable forest management as it applies to Scotland



### WRMP SEAs - Assessing the effects in practice

#### Objective led approaches to assessment

								(*	regative)	-/1		•	v	0	0				-11	-11	0		11			3			<u> </u>							
								C (I	onstruction lositive)	0		0	0	+	0	1	D	0	0	0	0		*	0	0	0	0	0	0							
2	C104							Option 435	peration (negative	-/?		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	-/?	0		0	0	0	0	-/?	0	0							
		Const	nuction	Oper	stiena	al -		6	peration (positive)	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	+			0	+	0	0	0	0							
SEA topic	SEA objective	Diffect	-	Cffec		•	Hacz Description (Including embedded mitigation)					-						-				-														
					-11	•		Construction																												
	-					c	onstruction effects:	Objective 1: Min	nor negative uncer	ain effect -	There are	two Europ	pean sites	within 20km o	f the optio	on (Ensor's	Pool SAC (	3.2km) and	the River M	ease SAC (19	9.6km)). Ne	ither of the	e Europea	an sites (or th	heir interest fe	atures) will b	e exposed to	the likely out	comes of the							
Gall .	quantity and guality of sola, including the protection of high-grade agricultural land		9				Wen the minor infrastructure regularments and small permanent lagitative there will be limited impacts on land, soils or geology. Overall, minor	option due to t that the effects	ne distance and at of construction w	uld be neg	ligible (as	ways (dista no impac	nce, up-cat t pathways	features not	characteris sensitive: e	etc.). Withi	bile species n 10km of t	he option	ber Estuary here are: 9	SAC / SPA / I SSIs. the clo	Ramsar are sest of whi	the ultimatich is appre-	te downst oximately	0.4km away	from the opti-	on (River Bivth	the River Ti ne SSSD: 16 LM	ent). The HR. Rs. the closes	A concludes st of which i							
				Ľ	1.		personne energie:	approximately 2	.4km from the opt	on; and, a r	number of	f areas of a	ancient wo	odiand, the cl	osest of wi	hich is app	roximately	2.2km away	There are	lso a numb	er of priorit	y habitats	within 1k	m of the op	tion, including	where the o	ption is locat	ed (Woodland	d Priority							
						т	he operation of the acheme will not affect land use, solic or geology.	Habitat Network). Construction effects e.g. noise/vibration may have a negative effect on the features which are within dore proximity to the option, however, enviraged that the solution will be confined within habitat associated which the conduction of the object. Construction admitters are wrater courses and are constructed and the absociated which the conduction of the object. Construction admitters are wrater courses and are constructed and the absociated which the conduction of the object. Construction admitters are realisting STW site, there are solved as a social admitter with the conduction of the object. Construction admitters are realisting and the admitter admitter and the admitter adm									terefore it is																			
air	To minimize air emissions during construction and operation					c	onemucion effects:	water level, geomorphology or water quality. There could be a short term change in sediment dynamics associated with any construction activities near water, however, this is unlikely to after geomorphological forms and processes which underpin physical habitat f																												
		٠	٠				The some construction works will be gade within solor of the following orban areas: 2000. However, it is equilatered to "guidance orban" (a guidance orban), and the solution of the following orban areas: 2000. However, it is equilatered to "guidance orban" (a guidance orban), and the solution of the following orban areas: 2000. However, it is equilatered to "guidance orban" (a guidance orban), and the solution of the following orban areas: 2000. However, it is equilatered to "guidance orban" (a guidance orban), and the solution of the following orban areas: 2000. However, it is equilatered to a guidance orban areas: 2000. However, it is explored to a guidance orban area								servoir is an offline reservoir, thus, these impacts are confined to Whitacre Reservoir.																					
						°   0	iperational effects:	Objective 2: Neutral effect - The construction activities may lead to a temporary change in land cover. However this is expected to have a neutral effect.																												
						10	r operation, a negligible number of HGM movements (Silyear) would be required for transportation of chemicals for treatment at WTW and was missions to air.	Objective 3: Ner	utral effect - Due te	the constr	uction act	tivities requ	uired poter	ntially resulting	g in increa	sed distrib	ution of te	restrial INP	5. Risk con	idered to be	e neutral co	nsidering	standard I	biosecurity i	measures duri	ng construction	on.									
Climatic Factors						c	onemucion effects:	etracian effects:																												
	To introduce climate mitigation where required and improve the climate regilience of assets and natural systems.					0	construction effects are assessed as neutral with only minor works required, including process enhancements at the WTW, upgrades at the Pil tations.	As Pland that there would not be loss of best and most versatile avoid/used loss and used noise.																												
							° 0	perational effects:	activities near v	vater, however, thi	is expected	to be mir	nimal and	will be con	fined to withi	in the reser	rvoir enviro	onment.	tows or gr	undwater	evels. There	could be a	short term	i change i	in sediment	dynamics with	in the reservo	off associated	with the cons	truction of						
						1	he operation of this acheme would provide a DO benefit of 25Mild through transfer of flows in the River Thames and increased resource shall. For flow conditions and/or downing lines, particular the uniterability or downing the statement of the diverse theory is an electric terration.	Objective 6: Mir	nor negative effect	Construct	ion activiti	ies near w	atercourse	s may have a m	ninor effect	t on water	quality wit	nin the res	rvoir which	result in shi	ort-term or	intermitter	nt effects o	on receptor:	s. The option	would not lea	ad to a chang	e in WFD clas	sification as							
		_				c a	enerusion effects:	Whitacre Recen	our is not a WFD v	ater borly.	1																									
	To minimize embodied and operational emissions					9	construction associated with this achieve is when of scale with a small increase in COV emissions (499.5) COVe), limited to process enhanceme exercisite of ear pay, CO and because protect.	nss at the WTI	i, upgrades at the	PG and																										
		•	127	ŕ		- 0	iperational effects:				J																									
						1	he operation of this option proposes the use of renewable energy. However, in operation, the scheme will result in an increase in CO <sub>2</sub> emission efforts on this objective.			J						SE	A Objectiv	es					_													
Landecape	To conserve, protect and enhance landscape and tokinacape character and visual amenty					c	Construction effects: E											ğ		P			e.													
						•	Construction associated with this achieve is minor of scale, limited to process enhancements at the Shelton WTW, upgrades at the Ford PB at Construction of Advancements of the Advancement of Advancements of the Advancement of Adva	1			Nath		Sa l	A			Gas	silie	T	4	Si a		ita													
												ipersonal enects: In legens on landstance and an elements also the Char General an element in generation	Option	Stage	la la	age 1		ls ag	ualiti	*	æ	use	Re	à la	÷.	les e	Page 1	H	ape							
Pagulation and Hurtan Health	To maintain and enhance the health and wellowing of the local community, including economic and accial wellowing	-			•	•							c	onetruction effects:			dive	Inces	s	S.G.	0 10	2	Bunk	ions	ten l	unise		ě.	a si	- ut	udse					
												1	he scheme is located, is an area with low levels of deprivation for socio-economic orbitis, including income, amployment, heakhoare and oriw construction associated with this scheme is minor of scale. Imbed to modess enhancements on the WTW, unmades at the Ford PS and constit			Bi	Sus	NN	E Sol	Wat	Flox	Air	<b>Biss</b>	. Cli	9 P	물물 물물	×.	N South	D.	3						
		1	ŕ				Operational effects:			÷.	NR	ei	48	e v	2	80	எய்	7	÷ ÷	2 ₽3	23	# 22	¥	=												
						D	uring operation, the achieve will help to support a sustainable acido-economy, through a realisert 25MHz benefit associated with water transfe	40 Ml/d capacit	y (negative)	-17		-	-	0 -	1.1	-17	-	0	e   e		0	-	12	-												
	To maintain and enhance sourcem and recreation					6	onstruction effects:	abstraction from	n (positive)	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	•• 0	0	0	•	0	0												
										c	ioneruption associated with this achieve is minor of scale. In their to process enhancements at the WTW, upgrades at the PB and construction expression effects are expressed to be neutral.	the Trent to Bithfield	Operation (negative)	17	0	-	2		0	-17	-	0	0 0	0	0	-17	0	0								
		•									1				1			° 0	perational effects:		Operation	0	•	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	++			**	0	0	0
						in a	r operation water will be abstracted from an existing intake at times of low flows in the River Several, with no overall shange in flows to the Sev		Construction						1.1.1	- 12								22.1												
	To secure net lient noter supplies for the health and wellbeing of opponent.	•				6	antituction effects:	Option 2.2.1.1 Increase	(negative) Construction				-	•	-	- 14		•			Ť			-												
						Construction associated with this achieve is minor of scale, limited to process enhancements at the WTW, upgrades at the PS an	construction associated with this scheme is minor of scale. In fed to process enhancements at the WTW, upgrades at the PS and construction	Storage at Blithfield	(positive)	•	0	0	•	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	•	0	0												
							iserational effects:	Reservoir - Increase Dam	(negative)	-17	0	2	0	- 0	0	-17	0	0	0 0	0	0	-17	1	1.0												
						т	he scheme will suppor the transfer of new vision supplies into the Thomas Water area by up to 25MIId and therefore helping to ensure providi	height by 1m	(positive)	0	0	0	0	0 0	••	0	0	•	- 0		0	0	0	0												
Masarial datest	\$.1 To minimize resource use and watte production	-	-		-	c	opport nears and wei-deing. Thereby generating a moderate positive effection tha objective. Ionestruction Effects:	Option 2.2.2.1	Construction (negative)	-17	0		-	0 -	-	-17		0			0			-												
						e	A effects are assessed as minor negative, given the low number of HGV movements over the <u>blamenth</u> construction period (100), a	Increase Storage at	Construction (positive)	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0		0	0	•	0	0												
						Т	here may also be some beneficial impacts reparting efficient moterial usage as the scheme makes use of existing intake infrastructure and r/	Bithfield Reservoir -	Operation	-17	0	-	0	- 0	0	-17	0	0	0 0	0	0	-17	-	(e) (												
						2	n existing licence could be considered a minor positive effect regarding efficient vioter resources management. Anethociden Effects:	height by 2m	Operation	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0		0		•	0	0	0												
	9.3 To shald negative effects on built assess and infractionare		1.0	1.0		6	construction associated with this achieve is minor of scale. In text to process enhancements on the WTW, upgrades at the PD and construction		Construction		0			0	0	12	-22		0		0	-		-												
							il not result in any material effects on built assets of infrastructure. Instructional Children	Option 2.3.1: Chelmarsh	(negative) Construction	1.1	*		-					-			× ·		*													
						0	preserve create. union oneration effects rewards built assess and infrastructure will be neutral.	Reservoir 15 Mild s2m raisin	(positive)	0	U	U		0 0	0	0	0	0	•• •	0	°	•	0	0												
							1997년		operation	-	0		0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0		0													

### Cumulative Effects and Programme Level assessments (WRMP)

A cumulative effect can occur when two or more environmental effects combine to have a greater effect:

- from different actions within a plan
- from combined actions of a wider range of plans
- over space or time
- or arise from multiple individual effects on a single receptor
- For WRMPs Water companies develop alternative programmes ٠
- Programme-level assessment considers both the findings of the option-level SEA and any identified cumulative significance of effects



SEA Objective	Cumulative score	Commentary
<ol> <li>To protect and enhance biodiversity, including designated sites of nature conservation interest and protected habitats and species, enhance ecosystem resilience and habitat connectivity and deliver a net biodiversity gain.</li> </ol>	/?	The construction and operation phases will lead to some effects due to loss of/disturbance of habitats and species. Likely significant effects or likely significant uncertain effects were assessed for seven supply options. Option 6 is surrounded by two European siles and three areas of ancient woodland are within the proposed boundary of storage increase, Option 31C and 31D will result in the permanen/partial loss of SSSIs designated for their geological features, Option 182C intersects a number of SSSIs and areas of ancient woodland, Option 187C and 557 are in proximity to areas of ancient woodland and Option 198 do also intersects ancient woodland and the HRA identified uncertainties and suggests scheme level investigations may be required. The HRA could not screen out operational effects on twelve options, however only Option 6 is anticipated to have significant effects. However, several options potentially affect the same designated sites and until the outcome of the various Stage 2 Appropriate Assessments are available, a cumulative significant negative uncertain effect is assessed.
2. To protect and enhance sustainable natural resources and the ecosystem services they provide.	+++/?	The BNG assessment identifies that there would be a temporary and permanni loss of haltal during the construction of the preferred programme of supply side options. However, it is assumed that in the operational phase there would then be a net gain leading to an overall net gain in biodiversity for the preferred programme. A significant positive score is assessed reflecting the scale of loss during the construction phase that would then see a net gain in the operational phase. However, there is some uncertainty over the extent of the positive effects of the preferred programme of options.
3. To avoid and, where required, manage invasive and non-native species (INNS).		Overall, moderate negative effects are assessed for preferred programme with respect to INNS. The presence and extent of negative effect is uncertain given that the INNS risk assessment identifies minor or no risk for nearly all of the preferred programme of options. Option 159 is identified as having a moderate negative risk of creating transfer of INNS as the addinoal volume discharged from the Derivent valley reservoirs may impact physical environment conditions in favour of INNS as well as potentially increase propagule pressure in the downstream watercourse.
4. To protect and enhance		Construction and operation of water resources infrastructure could affect existing land uses due to land take associated with new development. This may result in clearance of vegetation and loss of soil levels leading



### Benefits of SEA in the context of WRMPs

•Holistic perspective: An early view of the environmental and social effects of water management decisions across a range of topics. Integrates other assessments and data.

•Early identification of issues: Identifies potential risks and concerns at the planning stage, allowing mitigation measures to start to be considered into the plan from the outset.

- Assists in the optioneering process Informs and steers scheme design. Identifies showstoppers, assesses iterations of options
- Informs decision makers In context of engineering and cost
- •Further design and appraisal work develops the option concepts, including environmental impacts as part of the overall optimisation

#### Valuable consultation tool

•Long-term sustainability: By assessing the long-term impacts of water management decisions, SEA helps plans to contribute to the sustainable use and protection of water resources.

Feasible options design and costing (TOTEX & Assess options against SEA carbon). Assess options objectives and determine against key criteria significance of residual effects Programme appraisal to develop ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMMES urther iteration and SEA Stage B: Developing optimisation to determine and refining options and best value plan assessing effects Cumulative effects C assessment PREFERRED PROGRAMME Assessment of preferred programme including cumulative effects Define monitoring programme SEA Stage C: Preparing the **DRAFT WRMP** Environmental Report SEA Environmental Report Consultation on draft WRMP alongside SEA Environmental Report Ŧ SEA Post Adoption **FINAL WRMP** SEA Stage D: Consulting on Statement including the Draft WRMP and the Statement of SEA Environmental Report Environmental Particulars

Consultation on SEA Scoping Report and other assessment methodologies

\*Extract from UKWIR Guidance: Ricardo Energy & Environment (2020), UKWIR ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT GUIDANCE FOR WATER RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PLANS AND DROUGHT PLANS

### Examples of Challenges / Opportunities in the context of WRMP SEAs

- Uncertainty
- Option iterations
  - Difficulties avoiding sensitive areas
  - Time consuming with time constraints
  - Tracking options and iterations
- Redaction for security purposes all reference to location removed

#### Proportionality

 Feedback from various stakeholders. Water industry, regulators, public – e.g. uncertainty and cumulative effects with respect to timing of e.g. inter-company transfers and adjoining and regional WRMPs

#### Coordination

- Better collaboration
- Digital advancement
   – requires
   buy in from regulators, water
   companies and the public
- Automation opportunities
- Artificial Intelligence....





### Challenges / Opportunities.... Navigating Changes to Legislation







Statutory Instrument 2004 No.1633 The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 (England) – The SEA Regulations. The Levelling-up and Regeneration Act 2023 (LURA) enabled a new system of Environmental Outcome Reports (EOR) to replace EU derived EIA and SEA but Regulations are delayed until at least 2025.

# Let's continue the conversation!

Post questions and comments in the IAIA24 app.

Thank you !!



## #iaia24

Simone Medonos

Principal Environmental Consultant / Ricardo

UK

Simone.Medonos@ricardo.com

<u>LinkedIn</u>

<u>Ricardo</u>