Ethnolinguistic Minorities in Southern Angola: Opportunities for Inclusion

Olmos, S.; Begbie-Clench, B.; Bezeredi, A.; Serrat Capdevila, A.; Varghese Paul, B.



Santiago Olmos

World Bank

Angola

solmos@worldbank.org

https://www.linkedin.com/in/seolmos/

https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/socialsustainability



ESS7: Indigenous Peoples and Sub-Saharan African Historically Underserved Traditional Local Communities

•Environmental and Social Standard 7 (ESS7) of the World Bank's *Environmental and Social Framework* (ESF) aims to ensure that project interventions respect the rights, culture and livelihoods of indigenous peoples and promote their participation in projects while avoiding adverse impacts Core Features of ESS7

•Higher standards of community consultation

- Need for Free, Prior, and Informed Consent (FPIC) where a project:
- has adverse impacts on land/natural resources used; or
- causes relocation from traditional territory; or
- has significant impacts on the group's cultural heritage

ESS7 and *Minorias Etnolinguísticas* in Angola

- Indigenous Peoples/Sub-Saharan African Historically Underserved Traditional Local Communities (IP/SSAHUTLCs): terminology varies from country to country
- •These groups are identified by four criteria:
- self-identification as members of a distinct social and cultural group (and recognition of this by others);
- collective attachment to land/resources;
- customary institutions distinct from those of the mainstream society; and
- distinct language
- •IP/SSAHUTLCs are referred to as *Minorias Etnolinguísticas* ("Ethnolinguistic Minorities") by the Government of Angola

<u>Minorias</u> <u>Etnolinguísticas in</u> Angola

- Present mainly in Namibe, Cunene, Huíla and Cuando Cubango
- Hunter-gatherers in transition and nomadic/semi-nomadic pastoralists.
- Amongst Angola's earliest inhabitants
- Some of the country's most marginalised and remote communities



ESS7 Groups in Angola

The **San**, who are related groups of hunter-gatherers in transition, are present in Angola, Botswana, Namibia, South Africa, Zambia and Zimbabwe – longest-standing inhabitants of southern Africa

Angolan San are !Xun and smaller populations of Khwe (differing languages, culture)

The **MuKwisi**, a group who have transitioned from traditional livelihoods to providing labour for other groups and subsistence agriculture

Semi-nomadic pastoralists: Herero-speaking groups including the Ovahimba, Ovatjimba, Ovatwa, Ovazemba

Regional Overview – Southern Africa

	Angola	Botswana	Namibia	South Africa	Zambia	Zimbabwe
Populations meeting ESS7 criteria	San ±12,000- 20,000? Pastoralists ±20,00-40,000?	San ±60,000- 70,000?	San ±40,000- 50,000? Pastoralists ±7,500 -15,000?	San ±10,000- 20,000? Khoisan ±30,000?+	San ±500- 2,000? Forest peoples ±2,000?+	San ±1,500- 2,000 Doma ±1,000- 2,000
State terminology for IPs	Minorias etnolinguísticas	Remote Area Dwellers (Basarwa)	Marginalised communities > Indigenous Minorities	Khoisan / San	San	Marginalised communities (San/Vadoma)
Policy	N/A	Remote Area Dwellers Programme	Draft White Paper on Indigenous Minorities	Traditional and Khoi-San Leadership Act 3 of 2019	N/A	N/A
Specific Government Department?	No	Remote Area Dweller Programme MLG	Yes, Division Marginalised Communities	Under Dept. for Traditional Affairs	No	MLG
Status	Highly marginalised/ little data	Marginalised but progressing	Marginalised but progressing	Marginalised but progressing	Highly marginalised/ very little data	Highly marginalised

Key Socio-economic Challenges

- •Low indicators across issues, including:
- access to services and ID documents;
- land tenure;
- education;
- health care;
- food and water security
- •Comparable to other vulnerable groups, but due to minority status they often experience greater poverty and less inclusion



Barriers to Engagement

- Lack of demographic/socioeconomic data;
- Remote to extremely remote areas;
- Poor infrastructure;
- Limited government engagement;
- Limited coverage by civil society organisations; and
- Limited experience and capacity for engaging with ESS7 groups in Angola







Engagement in Remote Communities of Southern Angola

ESS7 Screening and community consultations carried out in Namibe, Huíla and Cunene provinces

 Research on the impacts of drought



Climate Vulnerability

Drought impacts:

- Severe effects on traditional livelihoods;
- Large numbers of livestock deaths in 2019-2020;
- Increase in livestock theft;
- High food insecurity;

Reports of villages abandoned – ±2,500 3,000 crossed over to Namibia for food and water







Development of Frameworks and Plans

- Indigenous People's Planning Frameworks (IPPFs): developed for several projects during preparation phase – guidance document
- Indigenous Peoples Plans (IPPs): more specific measures addressing clearlydefined project activities

Opportunities for Interventions

- Land titling
- Income generation/productive inclusion
- Improve access to birth certificates/identification documents
- •Scholarships and training opportunities
- •GBV prevention
- Increase water access for human consumption and livestock
- Targeted support for households/communities affected by climate shocks

Discussion

- •How to engage more meaningfully with *minorias etnolinguísticas* and other vulnerable groups in the context of development projects?
- More than consultation is needed
- Inclusion in the design of interventions is crucial –
 e.g., response to climate shocks
- Project interventions must result in tangible benefits for these communities
- Learnings from other jurisdictions opportunities for collaboration and avoiding the same mistakes
- Representation and influence amongst policymakers is important





Let's continue the conversation!

Post questions and comments in the IAIA24 app.

Santiago Olmos

World Bank

Angola

solmos@worldbank.org

https://www.linkedin.com/in/seolmos/

https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/socialsustainability



#iaia24